PRODUCTS LIABILITY IN NEW YORK

STRATEGY AND PRACTICE

SECOND EDITION

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF NEIL A. GOLDBERG, ESQ. JOHN P. FREEDENBERG, ESQ.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

Chapter 1	The Law of Manufacturing and Design Defect Liability Michael Hoenig, Esq.	1
Chapter 2	Liability for Failure to Warn Under New York Law	79
Chapter 3	Culpable Conduct/Comparative Fault Issues as Applicable to a Products Liability Case	173
Chapter 4	Procedural Issues Unique to a Products Liability Lawsuit: Collateral Estoppel, Statute of Limitations and Jurisdiction	225
Chapter 5	Strategic Issues Concerning the Defense of Plaintiff's Case	257
Chapter 6	Defending the Design Defect Case: Strategic Considerations	295
Chapter 7	The Preemption Issue, Government Contractor Defense, Market Share Liability And Other Developing Issues	369
Chapter 8	A Cost-Effective Approach to Defending Products Liability Litigation	411

Chapter 9	Discovery/Pretrial Issues	429
Chapter 10	Corporate Discovery Strategy in Complex Products Liability Litigation in Federal Court Robert L. Haig, Esq. John P. Marshall, Esq.	525
Chapter 11	Issues Unique to Automobile and Crashworthiness Litigation	547
	VOLUME TWO	
Chapter 12	Evidentiary Issues Unique to New York Products Liability Litigation	605
Chapter 13	Evidentiary Issues Unique to Product Liability Litigation in Federal Court	659
Chapter 14	Motions in Limine in New York Products Liability Litigation Loren H. Brown, Esq. Christopher G. Campbell, Esq. Jennifer A. Fuerch, Esq.	689
Chapter 15	Utilization of Industry Standards in New York Products Liability Litigation E. Stewart Jones, Jr., Esq. Joseph C. LaValley, III, Esq.	755
Chapter 16	Accident Reconstruction and Demonstrative Evidence: New Techniques and Developments Salvatore C. Malguarnera, Ph.D., P.E. Anthony D. Cornetto, III. P.E.	789

Chapter 17	How to Utilize an Expert Witness in Products Liability Litigation	813
Chapter 18	Preparing a Challenge to the Admissibility of Expert Testimony in the Federal and State Courts of New York	865
Chapter 19	Challenging the Plaintiff's Expert in the Post-Daubert Era Neil A. Goldberg, Esq. John P. Freedenberg, Esq.	913
Chapter 20	Contribution, Indemnification and Settlement Issues in Products Liability Actions	933
Chapter 21	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory <i>Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D.</i>	967
Chapter 22	Addressing Insurance Coverage Issues Specific to Products Liability Litigation	1023
Chapter 23	Selection and Preparation of Corporate and Expert Witnesses Neil Goldberg, Esq. Liza Callahan, Esq.	1061

DETAILED TABLE OF CONTENTS

VOLUME ONE

Preface	·		xxxvii
			xxxix
Chapte	er 1	The Law of Manufacturing and Design Defect L. Michael Hoenig, Esq.	iability
[1.0]	I.	Introduction and Overview	3
[1.1]	II.	Legal Theories Available	4
[1.2]		A. Overview	4
[1.3]		B. Negligence	
[1.4]		C. Warranty Liability	
[1.5]		1. Express Warranty	11
[1.6]		2. Implied Warranty	
[1.7]		D. Strict Products Liability	13
[1.8]	III.	Strict Products Liability—Law and Practice	15
[1.9]		A. Elements of a Prima Facie Case	15
[1.10]		B. Differentiating Manufacturing Defect from	
		Design Claims	20
[1.11]		1. Manufacturing Flaws	
[1.12]		2. Design Claims	
[1.13]		3. "Reasonableness" Calculus	
[1.14]		C. Risk-Utility Balancing Illustrated	
[1.15]		D. The "Not Reasonably Safe" Standard	
[1.16]		1. Risk-Utility Factors Identified	
[1.17]		2. Analyzing the Factors	32
[1.18]		E. The Relevant Time Frame for Gauging	
		"Defectiveness" and Other Considerations—	25
F1 101	TX 7	Cover v. Cohen	35
[1.19]	IV.	Role of the Implied Warranty of Merchantability	
[1.20]		A. Statutory Predicate	39
[1.21]		B. Core Elements Subtly Different from Tort	39
[1.22]	* 7	C. Practical Effects	40
[1.23]	V.	Warnings Liability—Generally	42
[1.24]	VI.	Warnings Law for Prescription Drugs or Products	49 52
[1.25]	VII.	Special Issues	52 52
[1.26]		A. Proximate Causation Tensions	52
[1.27]		B. Product Alterations—The <i>Robinson</i> Rule	57

[1.28]		C. "Enhanced" Injury and Crashworthiness	- 1
54 2 03		Claims	64
[1.29]		D. Successor Liability	71
[1.30]		E. Evidence of Post-Manufacture Modifications	
		or Remedial Measures	74
[1.31]		F. Additional Special Issues—Starter	
		References	75
Chapt	er 2	Liability for Failure to Warn Under New York La	aw
_		Sheila L. Birnbaum, Esq.	
		Douglas W. Dunham, Esq.	
		Jonathan S. Tam, Esq.	
		Sara B. Roitman, Esq.	
[2.0]	I.	Introduction	81
[2.1]	II.	Standard of Liability	82
[2.2]		A. Negligence/Strict Liability	82
[2.3]		B. State of the Art	82
[2.4]		C. Manufacturer as Expert	84
[2.5]		D. Compliance With Statutes and Regulations	85
[2.6]	III.	Scope of the Duty to Warn	87
[2.7]		A. Foreseeability	87
[2.8]		B. Casual or Occasional Manufacturers	89
[2.9]		C. Misuse	92
[2.10]		D. Component Parts	95
[2.11]		E. Products Used in Combination	96
[2.12]	IV.	To Whom Is the Duty Owed?	97
[2.13]		A. Reasonably Foreseeable Users	97
[2.14]		B. The "Bulk Supplier" and the "Sophisticated	
		Intermediary" Doctrines	99
[2.15]	V.	Adequacy of Warning	101
[2.16]		A. Generally a Jury Question	101
[2.17]		B. Factors Used in Determining the Adequacy	
		of a Warning	103
[2.18]		C. Instructions or Other Representations Made	
		by the Manufacturer	105
[2.19]	VI.	Post-Manufacture Duty to Warn	106
[2.20]		A. Manufacturers' Duty to Warn	106
[2.21]		B. Successor Corporation's Duty to Warn	108
[2.22]	VII.	Proximate Cause	110
[2.23]		A. Applicability of the Heeding Presumption	112

[2.24]		B. Failure to Read Warnings	114
[2.25]		C. Open and Obvious Dangers	117
[2.26]		D. The "Knowledgeable User" Exception	119
[2.27]	VIII.	Prescription Drug Products and the "Informed	
		Intermediary" Doctrine	122
[2.28]		A. Who Must Be Warned and the Scope of the	
		Duty	123
[2.29]		B. Compliance With Statutes and Regulations	125
[2.30]		C. The Adequacy of the Warning	126
	Appe	ndix: A Critical Analysis of On-Product Warning Theory	
	I.	Introduction	131
	II.	The Effectiveness of Product Warnings	132
		A. Wall Posters	132
		B. Instruction Manuals	133
		C. Education and Training	134
		D. Summary	137
	III.	Limits on the Use of Warnings	137
	111.	A. Information Overload	137
		B. Clutter	140
		C. Priority of Hazards	141
	IV.	Design and Adequacy of the Warning	141
	1 V .		142
	3 7	B. Other Warning Label Design Criteria	143
	V.	Analysis of Design Criteria: ANSI Standards	145 145
		A. Colors	
		B. Graphics	145
		C. Signal Words	148
		D. Description of Consequences	149
		E. Instructions for Avoiding a Hazard	154
		F. Summary	154
	VI.	The Warnings Model	156
		A. The Eight-Step Warnings Model	156
		B. Probability of Plaintiff's Compliance With	
		an Adequate Warning	157
		1. Generally	157
		2. Exposure to the Warning	159
		3. Attention to the Stimulus	159
		4. Active Processing of the Warning	161
		5. Comprehension and Agreement	162
		6. Storage and Retrieval of Message	163
		7 Selection of Appropriate Response	164

[3.0]I.Introduction175[3.1]II.Culpable Conduct and Comparative Negligence175[3.2]A.Assumption of the Risk178[3.3]B.Failure to Discover the Defect and Perceive Its Danger179[3.4]C.Failure to Guard Against a Known Defective Condition: The Knowledgeable User180[3.5]D.Failure to Heed Warnings or Instructions181[3.6]E.Misuse: Foreseeable Versus Unforeseeable182[3.7]F.Failure to Utilize Available Safety Devices183[3.8]G.Post-Manufacture Alterations and Modifications184	VII. Chapter 3	8. Performance of Appropriate Response 9. Response Is Adequate to Avoid Injury 10. Intention to Comply	166 168 169 169 170
 [3.1] II. Culpable Conduct and Comparative Negligence		Rhonda E. Kay, Esq.	
 [3.1] II. Culpable Conduct and Comparative Negligence	[3.0] I.	Introduction	175
 [3.2] A. Assumption of the Risk	[3.1] II.	Culpable Conduct and Comparative Negligence	175
[3.3] B. Failure to Discover the Defect and Perceive Its Danger			178
[3.4] C. Failure to Guard Against a Known Defective Condition: The Knowledgeable User			
Condition: The Knowledgeable User		Its Danger	179
Condition: The Knowledgeable User	[3.4]	C. Failure to Guard Against a Known Defective	
 [3.5] D. Failure to Heed Warnings or Instructions		——————————————————————————————————————	180
[3.6] E. Misuse: Foreseeable Versus Unforeseeable 182 [3.7] F. Failure to Utilize Available Safety Devices 183 [3.8] G. Post-Manufacture Alterations and Modifications	[3.5]		181
[3.7] F. Failure to Utilize Available Safety Devices 183 [3.8] G. Post-Manufacture Alterations and Modifications			182
[3.8] G. Post-Manufacture Alterations and Modifications		F. Failure to Utilize Available Safety Devices	183
Modifications		· ·	
		Modifications	184
[3.9] H. Recovery-Preclusion: The Wrongful Act	[3.9]	H. Recovery-Preclusion: The Wrongful Act	
Plaintiff		•	186
[3.10] III. Comparative Negligence and the Interplay	[3.10] III.	Comparative Negligence and the Interplay	
of N.Y. General Obligations Law § 15-108 188			188
[3.11] IV. Litigation	[3.11] IV.	_	189
[3.12] A. Pleadings			189
[3.13] B. Discovery			195
[3.14] C. Trial		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Proposed Verdict Sheet			
Chapter 4 Procedural Issues Unique to a Products Liability Lawsuit: Collateral Estoppel, Statute of Limitations and Jurisdiction Mark G. Farrell, Esq.	Chapter 4	Procedural Issues Unique to a Products Liability Lawsuit: Collateral Estoppel, Statute of Limitation	
[4.0] I. Collateral Estoppel	[4 0] I	Collateral Estonnel	227
[4.1] A. Plaintiff Strategies—Mounting an Offensive,			<i>441</i>
Nonmutual Attack	[1.1]		229
[4.2] 1. Mutuality	[4.2]		

[4.3]		2. Identity of Issue	230
[4.4]		3. Finality	230
[4.5]		B. Defendant Strategies—Defeating an	
		Offensive, Nonmutual Attack	231
[4.6]		1. Size of the Claim	232
[4.7]		2. Forum of Prior Litigation	233
[4.8]		3. Use of Initiative	233
[4.9]		4. Extent of the Litigation	234
[4.10]		5. Competence and Experience of Counsel	234
[4.11]		6. Availability of New Evidence	234
[4.12]		7. Indications of a Compromise Verdict	234
[4.13]		8. Difference in Applicable Law	235
[4.14]		9. Foreseeability of Future Litigation	235
[4.15]		10. Other Considerations	236
[4.16]		C. Trends and Impact	236
[4.17]	II.	Statute of Limitations	237
[4.18]		A. Three-Year Actions	238
[4.19]		1. Exposure	238
[4.20]		2. Substance	239
[4.21]		3. Discovery	239
[4.22]		4. Injury Where Causation Unknown	240
[4.23]		5. Continuing Exposure	241
[4.24]		6. Last Exposure	242
[4.25]		7. Municipal Defendants	242
[4.26]		8. Out-of-State Injury—The Borrowing	
		Statute	243
[4.27]		9. Revival Statute	243
[4.28]		B. Four-Year Actions—Breach of Warranty	244
[4.29]		C. Six-Year Actions—Fraud and	
		Indemnification	244
[4.30]		D. Two-Year Actions—Wrongful Death	245
[4.31]		E. Trends and Impact	245
[4.32]	III.	Jurisdiction	245
[4.33]		A. Generally	246
[4.34]		B. "Doing Business" Under CPLR 301	247
[4.35]		C. Long-Arm Jurisdiction Under CPLR 302	249
[4.36]		1. "Transacts Any Business" Under	
		CPLR 302(a)(1)	250
[4.37]		2. "Contracts Anywhere" Under	
		CPLR 302(a)(1)	251

[4.38]		3. Act Without Injury Within New York
		Under CPLR 302(a)(3)251
[4.39]		4. Possible Defendants
[4.40]		D. Forum Non Conveniens 253
[4.41]		1. <i>Gilbert</i> 's Private Interest Factors
[4.42]		2. <i>Gilbert</i> 's Public Interest Factors
[4.43]		E. Trends and Impact
Chapte	er 5	Strategic Issues Concerning the Defense of Plaintiff's Case Neil A. Goldberg, Esq. John P. Freedenberg, Esq.
	_	•
[5.0]	I.	Proof in Negligence Actions
[5.1]		A. Design or Manufacturing Defect
[5.2]		B. Failure to Warn 259
[5.3]	II.	Proof in Strict Products Liability Actions
[5.4]		A. Design Defect
[5.5]		B. Failure to Warn
[5.6]		C. Manufacturing Defect
[5.7]	III.	Matters of Pleading and Procedure
[5.8]		A. Answer Time and Particularity of Affirmative
		Defenses
[5.9]		B. Service of Process
[5.10]	IV.	Available Defenses
[5.11]		A. Alteration of Product
[5.12]		B. Assumption of Risk
[5.13]		C. Combining Products—Duty to Warn
[5.14]		D. Comparative Fault/Contributory Fault
[5.15]		E. Compliance With Standards
[5.16]		F. Damage to Property/Product Without Bodily
		Harm or Consequential Injury 270
[5.17]		G. Dangerous or Obviously Unsafe Conditions—
		Duty to Warn
[5.18]		H. Disclaimers of Liability
[5.19]		I. Failure to Mitigate Damages
[5.20]		J. Fault of Others
[5.21]		K. Government Contractor Defense
[5.22]		L. Informed Intermediary
[5.23]		M. Misuse or Mishandling of Product
[5.24]		N. Preemption
[5 25]		O Prior Similar Claims 276

[5.26]		P. Privity of Contract	277
[5.27]		Q. Recall Campaigns	277
[5.28]		R. Sealed Containers	277
[5.29]		S. Seat Belts—Failure to Use	278
[5.30]		T. State of the Art	280
[5.31]		U. Statutes of Limitations	281
[5.32]		V. Statute of Repose	283
[5.33]		W. Unanticipated, Unforeseeable or Unintended	
		Use	283
[5.34]		X. Unavoidably Unsafe Products	284
[5.35]		Y. Useful Shelf Life	285
[5.36]	V.	Other Common Law Defenses	285
[5.37]		A. Expert Testimony	285
[5.38]		B. Omission of a Safety Option	286
[5.39]		C. Spoliation	286
[5.40]	VI.	Damages and Joint Liability	288
[5.41]		A. Compensatory Damages	288
[5.42]		B. Contribution	289
[5.43]		C. Indemnification	289
[5.44]		D. Joint and/or Several Liability	289
[5.45]		E. Market Share Liability	291
[5.46]		F. Punitive Damages	291
[5.47]		G. Settlement	292
[5.48]		H. Successor Liability	293
Chapte	er 6	Defending the Design Defect Case: Strategic	
		Considerations	
		Saul Wilensky, Esq.	
		Carl J. Schaerf, Esq.	
		Matthew J. Kelly Jr., Esq.	
[6.0]	I.	Introduction	297
[6.1]	II.	Selecting a Defense Theory	297
[6.2]		A. Identification of Involved Product	298
[6.3]		B. The Product Is Reasonably Safe	300
[6.4]		1. Evidence of the Design Process	302
[6.5]		2. Compliance With Government Standards	302
[6.6]		3. Compliance With Industry Standards	307
[6.7]		4. Compliance With "State of the Art"	308
[6.8]		5. Favorable Product History	309
[6.9]		C. Attacking the Plaintiff's Alternative Design	309
[6.10]		1. Alternative Design Was Not Feasible	310

[6.11]			2. Additional Cost of the Alternative Design	
			Exceeds Any Increase in Safety	310
[6.12]			3. Alternative Design Would Create Other	
			Dangers	311
[6.13]			4. Additional Considerations in	
			Breach-of-Warranty Claims	312
[6.14]		D.	Product Alteration	315
[6.15]		E.	Unforeseeable Misuse	320
[6.16]		F.	Lack of Proximate Cause	321
[6.17]		G.	Defenses Unique to Warning Cases	322
[6.18]			1. Knowledgeable User	323
[6.19]			2. Open and Obvious Danger	324
[6.20]			3. Warning Was Adequate	324
[6.21]		H.	Comparative Fault	324
[6.22]			1. Plaintiff's Degree of Fault in Causing the	
			Accident	324
[6.23]			2. Fault of Others	325
[6.24]			3. Fault of the Employer	325
[6.25]			4. "Joint" Tort Liability	327
[6.26]		I.	Government Contractor Defense	327
[6.27]		J.	Contract Specifications Defense	329
[6.28]		K.	Interplay of Government Contractor Defense	
			and Contract Specifications Defense	330
[6.29]		L.	Retailer Liability	331
[6.30]		M.	8	331
[6.31]			1. Recalled Product Was Not Involved in	
			the Accident	332
[6.32]			2. The Part Under Recall Did Not Fail	332
[6.33]			3. Plaintiff Ignored the Recall Notice	332
[6.34]		N.	Post-Sale Obligations	332
[6.35]	III.	Sin	nplifying the Product for the Jury	333
[6.36]		A.		334
[6.37]		В.	Simplifying the Product in <i>Voir Dire</i>	335
[6.38]		C.	The Opening Statement	335
[6.39]		D.	Demonstrative Evidence	336
[6.40]		E.	Examination of Witnesses	338
[6.41]		F.	Tests and Experiments	339
[6.42]		G.	Closing Statements	340
[6.43]	IV.	Use	e of the Client at Trial	340
[6.44]		A.	Presence of the Client During Trial	341
[6.45]		В.	Ability of Plaintiff to Subpoena the Client	
			at Trial	342
[6.46]		C.	Use of the Client for Expert Testimony	343

[6.47]		D.	The Client as a Source of Exhibits and	
			Demonstrative Evidence	345
[6.48]	V.	De	fending Multi-Party Cases	345
[6.49]		A.	Resolving or Deferring Cross-Claims and	
			Third-Party Claims	347
[6.50]		B.	Tolling Agreements	348
[6.51]		C.	Informal Agreements	349
[6.52]	VI.	Ch	allenging the Admissibility of Plaintiff's Expert	
		Tes	stimony	350
[6.53]		A.	Qualifications	354
[6.54]		B.	Proper Subjects for Expert Testimony	356
[6.55]		C.	Opinions Contrary to Law	356
[6.56]		D.	Daubert and the New Standards for	
			Admissibility of Expert Testimony in	
			Federal Court	357
[6.57]		E.	Application of the Frye and Daubert Rules in	
			State Court	358
[6.58]		F.	Basis of the Opinion	359
[6.59]	VII.	De	aling With the Press and Publicity:	
		Th	e High-Profile Suit	360
[6.60]		A.	Confronting Adverse Publicity in the Media	361
[6.61]			1. The Role of Counsel	362
[6.62]			2. Rules for Communicating With the	
			Media	362
[6.63]			3. Preventing Communication With the	
			Media—The Gag Order	363
[6.64]			4. Cameras in the Courtroom	364
[6.65]		B.	Reducing or Eliminating the Effect of	
			Adverse Publicity at Trial	365
[6.66]			1. Changing Venue	365
[6.67]			2. Addressing Publicity at Trial	365
[6.68]		C.	Post-Trial Considerations	366
[6.69]			1. Application for Mistrial	366
[6.70]			2. Post-Trial Motions to Impeach the	
			Verdict	366

Chapte	er 7	The Preemption Issue, Government Contractor Defense, Market Share Liability And Other Devel Issues Harry F. Mooney, Esq.	loping
[7.0]	I.	Introduction	371
[7.1]	II.	The Federal Preemption Doctrine	371
[7.2]		A. Introduction	371
[7.3]		B. Types of Preemption	372
[7.4]		1. Express Preemption	372
[7.5]		2. Implied Preemption	373
[7.6]		3. Conflict Preemption	373
[7.7]		C. Preemption in Practice in the Products	
		Liability Field	373
[7.8]		1. The <i>Cipollone</i> Decision	373
[7.9]		2. Cases Under the Federal Insecticide,	
		Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA).	374
[7.10]		3. The Federal Preemption Defense in Other	
		Products Liability Cases	378
[7.11]		D. The Practitioner's Checklist	382
[7.12]	III.	The Government Contractor Defense	383
[7.13]		A. Introduction	383
[7.14]		B. Pre-Boyle Decisions	383
[7.15]		C. The <i>Boyle</i> Decision	384
[7.16]		D. Three-Pronged Test	385
[7.17]		E. Post-Boyle Decisions	386
[7.18]		F. The Practitioner's Checklist	389
[7.19]	IV.	Market Share Liability	390
[7.20]		A. Introduction	390
[7.21]		B. Distinction Between Market Share and	
		Traditional Tort Theories	392
[7.22]		1. Alternate Liability	392
[7.23]		2. Concert-of-Action	392
[7.24]		3. Enterprise Liability	393
[7.25]		C. Other Applications of Market Share Liability	393
[7.26]		1. Asbestos	393
[7.27]		2. Other Products	394
[7.28]		D. The Practitioner's Checklist	395
[7.29]	V.	The Learned Intermediary Doctrine and the	
		Sophisticated User Defense	396
[7.30]		A. Introduction	396
[7.31]		B. The Learned Intermediary Doctrine	396
[7.32]		C. The Sophisticated User Defense	399

[7.33]		D. The Knowledgeable User Doctrine	401
[7.34]		E. The Practitioner's Checklist	401
[7.35]		1. Learned Intermediary	402
[7.36]		2. Sophisticated User	402
[7.37]	VI.	Phobias: Fear of Injury or Disease	403
[7.38]		A. Introduction	403
[7.39]		B. Phobias Resulting from Exposure	404
[7.40]		1. Recovery Permitted	404
[7.41]		2. Cases in New York	404
[7.42]		C. Phobia Resulting in Diminution of Property	
		Value	407
[7.43]		1. Rules	407
[7.44]		2. New York Law	408
[7.45]		D. The Practitioner's Checklist	409
Chapt	er 8	A Cost-Effective Approach to Defending Product	ts
		Liability Litigation	
		Neil A. Goldberg, Esq.	
[8.0]	I.	Introduction	413
[8.1]		A. Market Factors	413
[8.2]		B. Legal Auditing	414
[8.3]		C. Litigation Management	415
[8.4]	II.	Establishing a Litigation Budget	415
[8.5]		A. Cost-Benefit Analysis	415
[8.6]		B. Ongoing Scrutiny of Each Defense Activity	416
[8.7]	III.	Developing an Aggressive Cost-Management	
		Philosophy	417
[8.8]		A. Depositions	418
[8.9]		B. Retention of Experts	419
[8.10]		C. Selecting Other Independent Contractors	419
[8.11]		D. Early Evaluation	420
[8.12]		E. Case Management	421
[8.13]	IV.	Cost-Effective Staffing and Selection of the	
		Defense Team	421
[8.14]		A. What Is "Cost-Effective Staffing"?	421
[8.15]		B. How to Select the Defense Team	423
[8.16]		C. Selecting Defense Counsel	424
[8.17]		1. Assessing Counsel's Abilities	424
[8.18]		2. Assessing Counsel's Commitment to	
		Cost Containment	425

[8.19]		D. Selecting In-House Members of the Defense Team
[8.20]		E. Selecting Deposition and Trial Witnesses 426
[8.21]	V.	Conclusion 427
[0.21]	٠.	Concression 127
Chapte	er 9	Discovery/Pretrial Issues
		William I. Sussman, Esq.
[9.0]	I.	Introductory Principles
[9.1]		A. Deposition
[9.2]		B. Discovery and Inspection Demand
[9.3]		C. Interrogatories
[9.4]		D. Demand for Bill of Particulars
[9.5]		E. Application of Discovery Rules
[9.6]		1. Generally
[9.7]		2. Proportionality
[9.8]	II.	Specification of Defect—Extent and Timing 441
[9.9]	III.	Preservation, Spoliation, Examination and
		Testing of the Product
[9.10]		A. Rosario Rule
[9.11]		B. Willful or Malevolent Spoliation
[9.12]		C. Unintentional or Negligent Spoliation
[9.13]		D. When Spoliation Sanctions Are Warranted 450
[9.14]		E. Duty to Preserve
[9.15]		F. Testing of Product
[9.16]	IV.	Similar Products/Similar Claims
[9.17]		A. Degree of Similarity
[9.18]		B. Burden of Proof
[9.19]		C. Relevant Case Law
[9.20]	V.	Expert Disclosure
[9.21]		A. Generally
[9.22]		B. Content of Expert Disclosure
[9.23]		C. Timing of Expert Disclosure
[9.24]		D. Unwillingness to Order Preclusion
[9.25]		E. Preclusion Upheld
[9.26]		F. Timelines for Expert Disclosure 500
[9.27]		G. Comparison of CPLR and FRCP
		Requirements for Expert Disclosure 505
[9.28]	VI.	Confidentiality Orders
[9.29]		A. Application to Disclosure of Trade Secrets 506
[9.30]		B. Scope of "Trade Secrets"

[9.31] [9.32]	VII.	C. Procedure for Obtaining	511 523		
Chapter 10		Corporate Discovery Strategy in Complex Products Liability Litigation in Federal Court Robert L. Haig, Esq. John P. Marshall, Esq.			
[10.0] [10.1] [10.2] [10.3] [10.4] [10.5] [10.6] [10.7] [10.8] [10.9] [10.10] [10.11] [10.12] [10.13]	XII. XIII.	Introduction Overview of Strategic Discovery Issues Changes in the Federal Rules Mandatory Disclosure Discovery Limitations Pre-Litigation Considerations Corporations Have Particular Discovery Needs and Considerations Imaging and Scanning Devices Informal Discovery Strategy Document Production Depositions Interrogatories Requests for Admissions Conclusion	527 528 529 533 535 537 539 540 541 542 544 544 545		
Chapte	er 11	Issues Unique to Automobile and Crashworthines Litigation Arthur H. Thorn, Esq. Nancy Bogan, Esq. Erin Mead, Esq. Amanda Kuryluk, Esq.	ss		
[11.0] [11.1] [11.2] [11.3] [11.4] [11.5] [11.6] [11.7] [11.8] [11.9] [11.10] [11.11]	I. II.	Understanding the Collision A. Gathering Factual Data B. Accident Scene Inspection C. Scene Photographs, Diagram D. Accident Vehicle 1. Location and Preservation 2. Product Modifications E. Witness Interviews 1. Police Officers 2. Rescue Personnel 3. Towing Personnel Spoliation	549 549 549 550 550 554 555 556 557		

[11.12]	A. Remedies in New York	557
[11.13]	1. Prior to Commencement of Litigation	559
[11.14]	2. After Commencement of Litigation	561
[11.15]	3. Further Discovery Ordered	563
[11.16]	4. Expert Preclusion Ordered	563
[11.17]	5. Spoliation as a Tort Theory	565
[11.18]	B. Remedies in Other Jurisdictions	565
[11.19]	1. Prior to Commencement of Litigation	565
[11.20]	2. After Commencement of Litigation	567
[11.21]	C. Establishing a Chain of Custody	567
[11.22] III.	Vehicle Component Performance	568
[11.23]	A. Compliance With Standards	568
[11.24]	B. Admissibility of Standards	570
[11.25] IV.	Seat Belts	570
[11.26]	A. Historical View	570
[11.27]	B. New York's Mandatory Seat Belt Use Statute	571
[11.28]	C. Admissibility of Nonuse of Seat Belt in	
	Limited Circumstances	572
[11.29]	D. Burden of Proof of Nonuse	573
[11.30]	E. Proof as to Damages Attributable to Nonuse	
	Inadequate	575
[11.31]	F. Wrongful Death Cases	576
[11.32] V.	Crashworthiness	576
[11.33]	A. What Is the "Second Collision"?	576
[11.34]	B. Elements of Proof	576
[11.35]	C. Crashworthiness Inapplicable	578
[11.36] VI.	Air Bags and Preemption Issues	579
[11.37]	A. Safety Act Provisions	579
[11.38]	B. Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 208	579
[11.39] VII.	Role of Expert Testimony	583
[11.40]	A. Admissibility	583
[11.41]	B. Qualifications	583
[11.42]	C. The <i>Frye</i> Standard for Reliability	585
[11.43]	D. Daubert Standard for Reliability	586
[11.44]	E. Foundation	588
[11.45]	1. Expert Testimony Received	591
[11.46]	2. Expert Testimony Excluded	592
[11.47]	F. Defining the Expert's Role	594
[11.48]	1. Accident Reconstructionist	594
[11.49]	2. Telling the Accident Reconstruction	
	Story in the Courtroom	595
[11.50]	3. Role of the Investigating Police Officer	596
[11.51]	4. Mechanical/Design Engineer	596

[11.52]	5. Occupant Kinematics/Biomechanics 596
[11.53] VIII.	Demonstrative Evidence
[11.54]	A. Admissibility 597
[11.55]	B. Experiments or Demonstrations 598
[11.56]	1. Illustrations of Principles of Physics 599
[11.57]	2. Demonstrations Inadmissible 601
[11.58]	3. Tests/Studies Conducted by Independent
	Entities 602
[11.59]	4. Computer-Generated Reconstructions
	or Reenactments
	VOLUME TWO
Chapter 12	Evidentiary Issues Unique to New York Products
	Liability Litigation
	Scott R. Jennette, Esq.
	Tony R. Sears, Esq.
[12.0] I.	Introduction
[12.1] II.	Admissibility of Expert Testimony in New York
	State Courts
[12.2]	A. Introduction 607
[12.3]	B. Standard for Admitting Novel Scientific
	Expert Testimony 610
[12.4]	1. The <i>Frye</i> General Acceptance Test 610
[12.5]	2. The Scope of <i>Frye</i> 's Application—
	Expansion to Novel Scientific Theories 612
[12.6]	C. Expert Testimony Must Be Based on an
	Adequate Foundation 616
[12.7]	1. Resulting from Exposure to Toxic
	Substances: Parker v. Mobil Oil Corp 619
[12.8]	2. The Progeny of <i>Parker</i> 622
[12.9]	D. Credentials/Qualifications of Expert
[12.10]	E. Procedural Considerations in Attacking the
	Admissibility of Expert Testimony 626
[12.11]	1. The Timing of Motions to Preclude
. ,	Expert Testimony 626
[12.12]	2. The Burden of Proof on Motions to
-	Preclude Expert Testimony 628

[12.13]	3. Appealability of Orders Denying Motions	
	to Preclude	630
[12.14]	F. Jury's Evaluation of Evidence	631
[12.15]	G. Conclusion	631
[12.16] III.	Spoliation of Evidence	631
[12.17]	A. Introduction	631
[12.18]	B. Duty to Preserve Evidence	632
[12.19]	C. Sanctions for Spoliation: Intentional Versus	
	Negligent Loss or Destruction of Evidence	
	and Prejudice to the Non-Spoliating Party	633
[12.20]	D. Types of Sanctions Imposed for Spoliation	635
[12.21]	1. Striking a Pleading	635
[12.22]	2. Preclusion of Evidence	636
[12.23]	3. Adverse Inference Charge	637
[12.24]	4. Preclusion of Expert Testimony	637
[12.25]	5. Expert Discovery	638
[12.26]	E. The Loss or Destruction of a Product Without	
	Any Culpability	639
[12.27]	F. Destruction of Documents and Electronic	
	Data	640
[12.28]	G. Conclusion	641
[12.29] IV.	Admissibility of Evidence of Subsequent	
	Remedial Measures	642
[12.30]	A. Introduction	642
[12.31]	B. Admissibility of Subsequent Remedial	
	Measures Depends Upon the Theory of	
	Liability Asserted	642
[12.32]	1. Claims for Manufacturing Defect	643
[12.33]	2. Claims for Design Defect and/or Failure	
	to Warn	643
[12.34]	C. Conclusion	645
[12.35] V.	Admissibility of Evidence Concerning Prior and	
	Subsequent Accidents	646
[12.36]	A. Other Accidents	646
[12.37]	B. Absence of Other Accidents	646
[12.38]	C. Conclusion	647
[12.39] VI.	Admissibility of State-of-the-Art Evidence	648
[12.40] VII.	Admissibility of Evidence Regarding Government	
	Regulations and Industry and Trade Association	
	Standards	649

[12.41]	A. Evidence Regarding Government Regulations	
	and Industry and Trade Association Standards	
	Is Generally Admissible	649
[12.42]	B. Relevancy and Other Considerations	
	Affecting Admissibility	651
[12.43] VII	·	
	Studies	652
[12.44]	A. Introduction	652
[12.45]	B. Hearsay Objections	652
[12.46]	1. CPLR 4520—Statutory "Public Records"	
	Exception	653
[12.47]	2. Other Hearsay Exceptions	654
[12.48]	C. Other Evidentiary Issues	655
[12.49]	D. Summary of Applicable Law	656
[12.50]	E. Conclusion	657
Chapter 13	Evidentiary Issues Unique to Product Liability	
Chapter 10	Litigation in Federal Court	
	William G. Gandy, Esq.	
	Jason R. Waters, Esq.	
[12.0]	•	((1
[13.0] I.	Introduction	661
[13.1] II.	Federal Rules Concerning the Admissibility of	<i>((</i> 1
510.03	Expert Testimony	661
[13.2]	A. Frye v. United States and "General	
540.07	Acceptance"	661
[13.3]	B. Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc	663
[13.4]	C. After <i>Daubert—Joiner</i> and <i>Kumho Tire</i>	666
[13.5]	D. 2000 Amendments to Rules 702 and 703	
	of the Federal Rules of Evidence	670
[13.6]	E. <i>Daubert</i> and Rules 702 and 703 in New York	
	Federal Courts	672
[13.7] III.	Admissibility of Industry and Trade Association	
	Standards	678
[13.8] IV.	Admissibility of Government Investigations and	
	Studies	680
[13.9] V.	Admissibility of Subsequent Remedial Measures	
	in Federal Court	684

Chapter 14 Motions in Limine in New York Products Liability Litigation Loren H. Brown, Esq. Christopher G. Campbell, Esq. Jennifer A. Fuerch, Esq. [14.0] I. Overview 691 A. Motion in Limine Defined 691 [14.1]Form of Relief 692 [14.2] 692 [14.3] II. Scope and Purpose..... [14.4]A. Advantages 692 692 [14.5] Practical Benefits..... [14.6] Tactical Benefits..... 693 [14.7] B. Disadvantages..... 694 694 [14.8] New York State Authority..... [14.9] D. Federal Authority..... 695 [14.10] III. Procedural Guidelines.... 696 [14.11]A. Form and Content 696 [14.12] Appeals 697 697 [14.13] Rights to an Appeal Preserving Appeal..... 698 [14.14] [14.15] IV. Timing 698 A. Early Motions 698 [14.16] Pretrial Motions 699 [14.17] C. Motions at Trial 700 [14.18] [14.19] V. Types of Motions..... 700 [14.20] A. Exclusion of Evidence Relating to Prior 700 Accidents, Complaints or Lawsuits..... [14.21] B. Exclusion of Public Investigative Reports...... 703 [14.22] C. Exclusion of Foreign Standards..... 705 Exclusion of Articles, Texts, Treatises and [14.23] 706 Reports..... Exclusion of the Documents..... 707 [14.24] Exclusion of Opinions Based on the [14.25] 707 Documents..... 709 Exclusion of Subsequent Remedial Measures .. [14.26] E. [14.27] Exclusion of Product Recalls..... 712 Exclusion of Expert Testimony 713 [14.28] [14.29] 1. Common Knowledge..... 713 2. 715 [14.30] Qualifications..... [14.31] Permissible Foundation for Expert 716 Opinion [14.32] Professional or Scientific Reliability...... 717

[14.33] [14.33]		a. New York State Approachb. Federal Courts' Application of	720
		Daubert in Products Liability	
		Litigation	726
[14.35]	H.	Spoliation of Evidence	732
[14.36]	I.	Exclusion of Photographs	734
[14.37]	J.	Exclusion of New Allegations Not Previously	
-		Identified	735
[14.38]		1. Liability Allegations Not Alleged in	
-		Prior Pleadings	736
[14.39]		2. Damages Allegations Not Set Forth in	
		Discovery Previously Exchanged	737
[14.40]		3. Exclusion of Expert Testimony Outside	
		the Scope of the Expert's CPLR 3101(d)	
		Disclosure	738
[14.41]	K.	Exclusion of Lay Witnesses Not Previously	
		Identified	739
[14.42]	L.	Tests, Experiments and Video Animations	740
[14.43]	M.	-	
		Within "Official" Reports	741
[14.44]		1. Police Reports	742
[14.45]		2. Hospital and Medical Records	743
[14.46]	N.	Admission of "Negative Evidence": Motion	
		to Admit Lack of Prior Accidents, Complaints,	
		Lawsuits and Recalls	744
[14.47]	O.	Introduction of Evidence Relating to	
		Compliance With Regulatory Standards	745
[14.48]	P.	Bifurcation	746
[14.49]	Q.	Excluding the Plaintiff from the Courtroom	747
[14.50]	R.	Limiting the Plaintiff to One Trial Counsel	750
[14.51]	S.	Other Motions	751
[14.52]		1. Exclusion of Habit Evidence	751
[14.53]		2. Motion to Quash Subpoenas <i>Duces Tecum</i> .	751
[14.54]		3. Other "Permissive" Motions:	
		Introduction of Criminal Record and	
		Drug or Alcohol Use	752
[14.55] VI.	Co	nclusion	753

Chapter 15	Utilization of Industry Standards in New York Pro Liability Litigation E. Stewart Jones, Jr., Esq. Joseph C. LaValley, III, Esq.	oducts
[15.0] I.	Scope of "Industry Standards"	757
[15.1] II.	Putting Standards into Evidence	757
[15.2] [15.3]	A. Admissibility (Hearsay and Judicial Notice)B. Admissibility (Relevance, Timeliness,	757
[13.3]	Preemption, Internal Standards)	761
[15.4]	1. Relevance	762
[15.4]	2. Timeliness	762
[15.6]	3. Preemption	765
[15.7]	a. Gardner v. Honda Motor Co	765
[15.8]	b. Cammon v. City of New York	766
[15.9]	c. Babalola v. Crystal Chemicals, Inc	768
[15.10]	d. Perez and Davis	769
[15.11]	e. Medtronic v. Lora Lohr, Inc	770
[15.12]	f. Richman v. W.L. Gore & Assoc., Inc.	772
[15.13]	g. Pinckney v. Zep Manufacturing Co	773
[15.14]	h. Oglesby and Sakellaridis	774
[15.15]	4. Internal Standards	777
[15.16] III.	Application of Industry Standards	778
[15.17]	A. Noncompliance With Statutory Standards in	
	General	778
[15.18]	B. Plaintiffs' Application of Industry Standards	
	in Products Liability Cases	780
[15.19]	C. Defendants' Application of Industry	
	Standards in New York Products Liability	
	Cases	782
[15.20] IV.	Conclusion	788
Chapter 16	Accident Reconstruction and Demonstrative Evid New Techniques and Developments Salvatore C. Malguarnera, Ph.D., P.E. Anthony D. Cornetto, III, P.E.	lence:
[16.0] I.	Introduction	791
[16.1]	A. What Is Demonstrative Evidence?	791
[16.2]	B. Why Use Demonstrative Evidence?	791
[16 3]	C When Can Demonstrative Evidence Be Used?	791

[16.4]	II.	Requirements for the Use of Demonstrative	
		Evidence	791
[16.5]		A. Demonstrative Evidence Must Explain a	
		Relevant Issue	791
[16.6]		B. Demonstrative Evidence Must Not Be	
		Inflammatory or Prejudicial	792
[16.7]		C. Foundation Testimony Requirements for the	
		Admissibility of Demonstrative Evidence	792
[16.8]	III.	Criteria for Selecting Demonstrative Evidence	792
[16.9]		A. Practicality	792
[16.10]		B. Judge's Role	792
[16.11]		C. Quality of the Demonstrative Evidence	793
[16.12]	IV.	Development of Demonstrative Evidence	793
[16.13]		A. The Evidence Team	793
[16.14]		B. Groundwork	793
[16.15]		C. Practice	794
[16.16]	V.	Types of Demonstrative Evidence	794
[16.17]		A. Actual Objects and Duplicates/Exemplars	794
[16.18]		1. Definitions	794
[16.19]		2. Advantages and Disadvantages	794
[16.20]		3. Using the Object at Trial	795
[16.21]		B. Models	796
[16.22]		1. Definition	796
[16.23]		2. Advantages and Disadvantages	796
[16.24]		3. Using the Model	797
[16.25]		C. Charts, Diagrams and Graphs	797
[16.26]		1. Definitions	797
[16.27]		2. Advantages and Disadvantages	798
[16.28]		3. Using Charts, Diagrams and Graphs	798
[16.29]		D. Photographs and Slides	800
[16.30]		1. Definitions	800
[16.31]		2. Advantages and Disadvantages	800
[16.32]		3. Using Photographs and Slides	801
[16.33]		4. Digital Photography	802
[16.34]		E. Videos and Motion Pictures	803
[16.35]		1. Definitions	803
[16.36]		2. Advantages and Disadvantages	804
[16.37]		3. Using Videos and Motion Pictures	804
[16.38]		F. Computer Graphic Simulations	805
[16.39]		1. Definition	805
[16.40]		2. Advantages and Disadvantages	805
[16 41]		3 Using Computer Simulations	807

[16.42]	G. Demonstrations and Experiments	808
[16.43]	1. Definition	808
[16.44]	2. Advantages and Disadvantages	808
[16.45]	3. Using Demonstrations and Experiments	808
[16.46] VI.	Combining Different Types of Demonstrative	
	Evidence	809
[16.47]	A. Traditional Method	809
[16.48]	B. Multimedia Presentation	809
[16.49] VII.	Examples	810
[16.50]	A. Vehicular Accident Reconstruction	810
[16.51]	B. Products and Processes	811
[16.52]	C. Anatomy and Biomechanics	811
Chapter 17	How to Utilize an Expert Witness in Products Lia	bility
•	Litigation	•
	Richard E. Alexander, Esq.	
	John C. Herbert, Esq.	
	Anthony R. Palermo, Esq.	
	William P. Smith, Jr., Esq.	
	Julie S. Jordan, Esq.	
[17.0] I.	Introduction	815
[17.1] II.	Historical Perspective and Overview	815
[17.2] III.	Use of Experts in Various Stages of Litigation	817
[17.3]	A. Pretestimonial Expert	817
[17.4]	B. Testimonial Expert	819
[17.5]	1. Liability—Required to Prove Specific	
	Defect	819
[17.6]	2. Liability—Not Required to Prove	
	General Defect	820
[17.7]	3. Liability—Proof of Proximate Causation	821
[17.8] IV.	Locating Experts	823
[17.9] V.	Credentials and Other Background Considerations	823
[17.10] VI.	Retainer Issues	824
[17.11] VII.	Product Inspection and Related Issues	824
[17.12] VIII.	Discovery Issues	825
[17.13]	A. State Court	825
[17.14]	1. CPLR 3101(d)	825
[17.15]	2. Interrogatories Seeking Expert	
_	Information	828
[17.16]	3. Obtaining Opinion Testimony at the	
	Deposition	828

[17.17]		4.	Disclosure of Facts Known to the Expert	
			Where Product Spoliation Involved	829
[17.18]		5.	Destructive Testing	829
[17.19]		6.	Privileges and Waiver	829
[17.20]			a. Attorney-Client Privilege	830
[17.21]			b. Work-Product Privilege	830
[17.22]			c. Material Prepared for Litigation	833
[17.23]	B.	Fed	leral Court	834
[17.24]		1.	Expert Disclosure	835
[17.25]		2.	Expert Discovery	836
[17.26] IX.	Tria	al Iss	sues	839
[17.27]	A.		ect Examination	839
[17.28]		1.	Qualifications	839
[17.29]		2.	"Junk Science" in the Courtroom	841
[17.30]			a. The Supreme Court's Interpretation	
			of FRE 702	841
[17.31]			b. Clarification of <i>Daubert</i>	843
[17.32]			c. Checklist for Qualifying Experts	
			Under Kumho Tire	846
[17.33]			d. Application of <i>Kumho Tire</i> and	
			Daubert in New York	847
[17.34]			e. Novel Scientific Evidence—Frye	
. ,			Hearings in New York Supreme	
			Court	850
[17.35]		3.	Opinion Must Be Based on Facts in	
			Evidence or Reasonable Inferences	851
[17.36]		4.	Demonstrative Evidence	853
[17.37]		5.	Miscellaneous Practical Considerations	854
[17.38]	B.	Cro	oss-Examination	855
[17.39]	C.		ppoena Adverse Expert	856
[17.40]	D.		ht to Confer With an Expert	857
[17.41]	E.	_	timony by Nontreating Physician	858
[17.42]	F.		arges to the Jury	858
[17.43]		1.	Expert Charge in PJI	858
[17.44]			Expert Testimony That Disregards Legal	
[17]			Standards Must Be Disregarded	859
[17.45]		3.	The Expert and the "Interested Witness"	00)
[]			Charge	859
[17.46]		4.	The Expert and the "Failure to Produce a	
[]		••	Witness" Charge	860
[17.47] X.	Cor	nelus	sion	861
Appe	endix	: Sa	mple Retainer Letter to Defense Expert	863

Chapter 18	Preparing a Challenge to the Admissibility of Expert Testimony in the Federal and State Courts of New York J. Peter Coll, Jr., Esq.				
	Christopher P. Johnson, Esq.				
	Kathryn S. Bevilacqua, Esq.				
[18.0] I. [18.1] II.	IntroductionFederal and State Standards Regarding the	867			
	Admissibility of Expert Testimony	868			
[18.2]	A. Reliability of Methodology	868			
[18.3]	1. The Federal Standard	868			
[18.4]	2. Clarification of <i>Daubert</i>	874			
[18.5]	3. New York's Different Expert				
[18.6]	Admissibility Standardsa. New York's "Helpfulness" Standard	876			
	of Admissibility	876			
[18.7]	b. New York's Adherence to <i>Frye</i> 's "General Acceptance" Standard for				
	Novel Scientific Evidence	877			
[18.8]	(1) Parker v. Mobil Oil Co	878			
[18.9]	(2) Does Frye Require a "Generally Accepted" Methodology or a "Generally Accepted"				
[18.10]	Conclusion?	885			
	Practice	888			
[18.11]	B. The Reliability of Data Supporting an Opinion	889			
[18.12]	C. Qualifications of Witness	892			
[18.12] [18.13] III.	Federal and State Discovery Relative to Asserting	072			
[10.13] 111.	the Expert Challenge	893			
[18.14]	A. Information Needed to Support an Expert	075			
[10.14]	Challenge	893			
[18.15]	B. Expert Discovery Under the FRCP	895			
[18.16]	1. Mandatory Expert Disclosures	896			
[18.17]	2. Expert Interrogatories, Depositions and				
[18.18]	Subpoenas	897 899			
[18.19]	C. Expert Discovery Under the CPLR	901			

[18.20] IV. [18.21]	Strategies for Asserting the Pretrial Expert Challenge in Federal and New York State Courts A. Motions for Summary Judgment, Motions in Limine and Their Contents	905 906
[18.22] [18.23] V.	B. "Gatekeeper" Hearings Conclusion	909 912
Chapter 19	Challenging the Plaintiff's Expert in the Post-Daubert Era Neil A. Goldberg, Esq. John P. Freedenberg, Esq.	
[19.0] I. [19.1] II. [19.2] III. [19.3] [19.4] [19.5] [19.6] [19.7] [19.8] [19.9] [19.10] [19.11] [19.12] [19.13] [19.14] IV. [19.15] V.	Introduction The Daubert Era Unfolds Preparing for the Deposition A. Initial Preparations. B. Outline for the Deposition 1. Contents of Expert's File. 2. Background and Qualifications 3. Specific Qualifications Regarding the Product at Issue. 4. Initial Retention in Case. 5. Chronology of Involvement in Case. 6. Product Inspection. 7. Statement of Opinions 8. Alternative Design Issues. 9. Any Other Opinions Conducting the Deposition. Conclusion.	915 915 921 921 922 923 924 925 926 927 929 930 931
Chapter 20	Contribution, Indemnification and Settlement Iss in Products Liability Actions Susan T. Dwyer, Esq. Aviva Wein, Esq.	ues
[20.0] I. [20.1] II. [20.2] III. [20.3] [20.4] [20.5] [20.6] IV.	Introduction	935 935 938 938 941 942

[20.7]	A. Generally
[20.8]	B. Applicability
[20.9]	1. CPLR 1602(10)
[20.10]	2. CPLR 1602(4): Workers' Compensation . 94
[20.11]	3. CPLR 1602(6): Persons Held Liable for
	Use of Motor Vehicle
[20.12]	4. CPLR 1602(7): Reckless Disregard 94
[20.13]	5. CPLR 1602(9): Toxic Torts
[20.14]	6. CPLR 1602(11): Parties Acting in
	Concert94
[20.15]	7. CPLR 1602(1): Claims for
	Indemnification
[20.16]	8. CPLR 1602(2)(ii): Claims for
	Indemnification/Immunity95
[20.17]	C. Pleading Considerations
[20.18] V.	GOL § 15-108: Release and Settlement
[20.19] VI.	Interplay Between CPLR Article 16 and
	GOL § 15-108
[20.20] VII.	Interplay Between GOL § 15-108 and the Right
	to Indemnification
[20.21] VIII.	Pleading and Practice Considerations
[=0.=1] ,	
[20.22] IX.	Jury Instructions
[20.22] IX.	Jury Instructions
[20.22] IX.	Jury Instructions
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D.
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I.	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II.	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II.	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4] [21.5]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4] [21.5] [21.6]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4] [21.5] [21.6] [21.7]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4] [21.5] [21.6]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4] [21.5] [21.6] [21.7] [21.8] III.	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4] [21.5] [21.6] [21.7] [21.8] III. [21.9]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4] [21.5] [21.6] [21.7] [21.8] III. [21.9] [21.10]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction
[20.22] IX. Chapter 21 [21.0] I. [21.1] II. [21.2] [21.3] [21.4] [21.5] [21.6] [21.7] [21.8] III. [21.9]	The Jury's Perspective in Products Liability Litigation: The Role of Communication Theory Gerald M. Goldhaber, Ph.D. Introduction

[21.13]		c. Sociability	981
[21.14]		2. Homophily	981
[21.15]		3. Attraction	983
[21.16]	B.	Message Variables	984
[21.17]		1. Organized Messages	984
[21.18]		2. Message-Sidedness	985
[21.19]		3. Message Content	986
[21.20]		a. Amount of Documentation	986
[21.21]		b. Information Overload	987
[21.22]		c. Fear Appeals	988
[21.23]		4. Nonverbal Code of Communication	990
[21.24]		a. The Face	990
[21.25]		b. Physical Attractiveness	991
[21.26]	C.	Receiver Variables	993
[21.27]		1. Demographics	993
[21.28]		a. Age	993
[21.29]		b. Sex	994
[21.30]		2. Personality Attributes	996
[21.31]		a. Dogmatism	996
[21.32]		b. Self-Esteem	996
[21.33]		c. Aggressiveness	997
[21.34]		d. Anxiety	997
[21.35]		e. Prior Attitudes	997
[21.36]		f. Familiarity	998
[21.37] IV.	Ap	plying Quantitative and Qualitative	
_		mmunication Research Methods to Products	
	Lia	bility Litigation	999
[21.38]	A.		
		Survey Research	999
[21.39]	B.	Types of Surveys	1000
[21.40]	C.	Sampling Method	1002
[21.41]	D.	Sample Size	1004
[21.42]	E.	Designing the Questionnaire	1006
[21.43]	F.	Administering the Survey	1008
[21.44]	G.	Reporting the Results of the Survey	1009
[21.45]	H.	Quantitative Research Methods: Using	
		Mall-Intercept Studies	1010
[21.46]	I.	Qualitative Research Methods	1012
[21.47]		Quantitative Research Compared	1012
[21.48]		2. Focus Groups	1013
[21.49]		a. Advantages and Limitations	1014
[21.50]		b. General Principles	1016

[21.51] [21.52] [21.53]	Analyzing the Data a. Mock Trial b. Shadow Jury	1017 1018 1018
[21.54] V.	Using the Communication Expert in Products Liability Litigation	1019
Chapter 22	Addressing Insurance Coverage Issues Specific to Products Liability Litigation Charles Platto, Esq.	•
[22.0] I. [22.1]	Understanding The Scope of Coverage A. Coverage and Exclusions Under Commercial	1025
[22.2]	General Liability Policies	1025
	Not Cover	1025
[22.3]	2. Coverage Under the CGL Policy	1025
[22.4]	B. Coverage for Products Liability and	
	Completed Operations	1026
[22.5]	C. Claims-Made Versus Occurrence Policies	1027
[22.6]	D. Vendor's Endorsements and Successor	
	Liability	1028
[22.7] II.	Coverage Provisions	1031
[22.8]	A. Definition of Occurrences	1031
[22.9]	B. Timing of Occurrences	1033
[22.10]	1. Trigger	1033
[22.11]	2. Aggregation of Claims	1036
[22.12] III.	Products-Hazard and Completed-Operations	
	Coverage and Exclusions	1038
[22.13]	A. Products-Hazard Coverage	1038
[22.14]	B. Completed-Operations Coverage	1040
[22.15] IV.	Exclusions	1041
[22.16]	A. Own-Product/Own-Work Exclusion	1041
[22.17]	B. Business-Risk Exclusion	1044
[22.18]	C. Sistership Exclusion	1046
[22.19]	D. Pollution Exclusion	1048
[22.20] V.	Punitive Damages	1054
[22.21]	A. Insurance Coverage for Punitive Damages	1055
[22.22]	B. Punitive Damages and Bad Faith Claims	
	Against Insurers	1057
[22.23] VI.	Liability Risk Retention Act	1058

Chapter 23 **Selection and Preparation of Corporate and Expert Witnesses** Neil Goldberg, Esq. Liza Callahan, Esq. [23.0] I. Selection of a Corporate Witness 1063 Selecting an Expert Witness.... [23.1] II. 1064 [23.2] III. Witness Preparation.... 1064 Table of Authorities..... 1067 Index 1141

ABOUT THE EDITORS

NEIL A. GOLDBERG, ESQ.

Neil A. Goldberg is a Partner at Goldberg Segalla, LLP. He has defended products liability, pharmaceutical, medical device, trucking, toxic tort, and other complex catastrophic cases across the United States. He is past president of the Defense Research Institute (DRI), the largest organization of civil defense attorneys in the United States, is the past president and board chairman of the Lawyers for Civil Justice, and is the past chair of DRI's Products Liability Committee and past chair of the New York State Bar Association's Products Liability Committee. He is a member of the Board of Directors of the USLAW Network and is on the Advisory Board of the Bureau of National Affairs' (BNA) *Product Safety & Liability Reporter*.

Mr. Goldberg is the editor of and contributing author of seven books on the defense of complex personal injury cases. He is the co-editor-in-chief of the two-volume Preparing For and Trying the Civil Lawsuit, second edition, published by the New York State Bar Association, 2004. He was also editor-in-chief and contributing author to the first edition of *Products* Liability in New York, Strategy and Practice (1997) and is the editor-inchief of DRI's Daubert Compendium, and a frequent lecturer on the defense of product liability and personal injury actions. He has lectured for Lloyds of London, DRI, Practicing Law Institute, the American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Southern Methodist University and other prestigious organizations throughout the United States and Europe. He possesses an AV rating from Martindale-Hubbell and has been included in the International Who's Who of Product Liability Defence Lawyers; Super Lawyers, Corporate Counsel Edition; New York Super Lawyers; named one of the "Top 50 New York Super Lawyers"; and selected for inclusion in Business First's "Who's Who in Law." He is the recipient of the Defense Research Institute's Distinguished Service Award; the New York State Bar Association's Committee Chair of the Year Award and Distinguished Service Award; and is included in Who's Who in America, Who's Who in American Law, and Who's Who Legal. Mr. Goldberg is a member of the American Arbitration Association, International Association of Defense Counsel, Federation of Defense and Corporate Counsel, American Bar Association, Trial Lawyers of America, and the Erie County Bar Association.

JOHN P. FREEDENBERG, ESQ.

John Freedenberg is a Partner in the law firm of Goldberg Segalla LLP. He concentrates his practice in the areas of products liability, catastrophic personal injury, and intellectual property litigation. He has handled more than 150 trials, 100 of them to verdict, and has had many summary judgments granted, making trial unnecessary.

Mr. Freedenberg serves as national coordinating counsel for two major manufacturers, and he has handled the defense of numerous products liability actions around the United States. These have included catastrophic personal injury cases and major fire damage cases involving appliances, household products, controls, power generating and other heavy equipment, high-end products, lift trucks, electrical systems, and aircraft engines. As a Certified Fire and Explosion Investigator (CFEI), Mr. Freedenberg provides clients with a strong competitive advantage in litigation involving damage and injury from fires. He is actively involved in the investigation phase of each fire case, and in the courtroom he brings the weight of fire investigation credentials that often match or exceed those of opposing expert witnesses. He has participated in dozens of fire scene inspections and more than 100 laboratory inspections of fire scene artifacts, and he has conducted hundreds of depositions and dozens of trials in fire-related cases. Administered by the National Association of Fire Investigators through its National Certification Board, CFEI is the largest fire investigator certification program in the world. Mr. Freedenberg has also had the unique opportunity to depose plaintiffs' experts in a wide range of specialties, and he has developed proven techniques for disqualifying "junk science" purveyors under applicable rules of evidence. He utilizes cutting-edge technology and unique strategies in order to, for example, enter into high/low agreements and achieve the best possible outcome for clients.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

RICHARD E. ALEXANDER, ESQ.

Richard Alexander is a Partner in the firm of Harter, Secrest & Emery, LLP, where he concentrates his practice in products liability. He graduated, *cum laude*, from the State University of New York at Buffalo School of Law, where he served as Publications Editor of the law review. From 1983–1985, Mr. Alexander was a law clerk to the Appellate Division, Fourth Department. He is past chairman of the Seventh Judicial District Grievance Committee, a member of the New York State and Monroe County Bar Associations and the Defense Research Institute. He is also a member of the Governor's Judicial Screening Committee and was named a "Best Lawyer" in 2012. Mr. Alexander is the co-author of *Use of Experts in Products Liability Litigation* (New York State Bar Association) and *Use of Outside Counsel and Product Safety Investigations* (Defense Research Institute). He has lectured at numerous state and local bar continuing legal education programs.

KATHRYN S. BEVILACQUA, ESQ.

Kathryn S. Bevilacqua is an Associate in the New York office of Kasowitz Benson Torres & Friedman LLP. Her practice focuses on complex civil litigation in state and federal courts, and she has represented many clients involved in commercial disputes arising out of the housing market crisis. She is a 2000 graduate, *cum laude*, of the University of Notre Dame, and Rutgers University School of Law, 2004.

SHEILA L. BIRNBAUM, ESQ.

Sheila L. Birnbaum is co-head of Skadden Arps' Complex Mass Tort and Insurance Group nationwide. Prior to becoming a Skadden Arps Partner, she served as counsel to the firm while a Professor of Law and Associate Dean at New York University School of Law. She has been national counsel or lead defense counsel for numerous *Fortune* 500 companies in complicated tort cases and successfully argued two cases in the U.S. Supreme Court. Ms. Birnbaum was chosen as the leading products liability lawyer in the world by *The International Who's Who of Product Liability*, one of the 10 most admired product liability attorneys in 2010 by *Law360* and one of the 25 most influential women in New York by *Crain's New York Business*. She also has been repeatedly selected for inclusion in *The Best Lawyers in America* for personal injury and mass tort litigation.

LOREN BROWN, ESQ.

Loren Brown is a co-chair of DLA Piper's Product Liability and Mass Tort practice. He has an extensive civil litigation practice with a particular concentration in the pharmaceutical and mass tort areas. Mr. Brown has served as national coordinating counsel, tried jury cases, and argued appeals on behalf of many Fortune 50 companies. He currently acts as national coordinating counsel and lead trial counsel in mass tort and multi-district litigation throughout the U.S. He regularly counsels clients on a wide range of risk management, compliance, due diligence, regulatory, product labeling, recall and public disclosure issues. Chambers USA: America's Leading Lawyers for Business recognized Mr. Brown as a national leader in the product liability and pharmaceutical litigation areas and Legal 500 recognized Mr. Brown as "outstanding" in the mass tort and pharmaceutical litigation areas. In 2007, American Lawyer magazine named Mr. Brown to its "Fab Fifty" list of the 50 rising litigators in the U.S. younger than 45. In 2008, he was honored by Pfizer with its "Lawyer of the Year" award. Mr. Brown also has been named a New York "Super Lawyer" and is listed in Euromoney's "Guide to the World's Leading Product Liability Lawyers." Mr. Brown regularly speaks and writes on evidence, science in the courtroom, products liability and mass tort litigation, and civil trial practice. He co-authored Expert Witnesses: Products Liability Cases (West 2009) and "Sacking the Monday Morning Quarterback: Tackling Hindsight Bias in Failure-to-Warn Cases" (in For the Defense, 2010), of which the Defense Research Institute (DRI) selected to receive its G. Duffield Smith Outstanding Publication Award.

LIZA Y. CALLAHAN, ESQ.

Liza Y. Callahan, Esq. is an Associate with Goldberg Segalla LLP. She received her Juris Doctor, *cum laude*, from Georgetown University Law Center and her Bachelor of Arts in Communications and Theater from the University of Notre Dame. Ms. Callahan concentrates her practice on products liability. She is admitted to practice in New York, and is a member of the New York State Bar Association and the Women's Bar Association of the State of New York, Western New York Chapter.

CHRISTOPHER G. CAMPBELL, ESQ.

Christopher G. Campbell is a Partner in DLA Piper's New York office, where he focuses on the areas of mass tort, product liability and commercial litigation. He has experience in all phases of litigation, including first-chairing jury and bench trials in state and federal courts and arguing appeals. He is co-author of the book *Expert Witnesses: Products Liability Cases* (West 2009).

J. PETER COLL, JR., ESQ.

Peter Coll is a Partner in the New York office of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe. He is senior member of Orrick's Litigation Group and has served as a member of the firm's Executive Committee since 2000. Mr. Coll has tried major, complex cases in New York state and federal courts and throughout the United States, including Washington, the Virgin Islands, California and Arizona. During his 40-plus-year litigation and trial career, he has argued appeals before the U.S. Supreme Court, the highest-level appellate courts of New York and New Jersey, and seven federal circuit courts of appeal.

He is a member of the American Bar Association; New York State Bar Association; Association of the Bar of the City of New York; New York County Lawyers' Association; and the Federal Bar Council. Mr. Coll was recognized in *Euromoney's* "Guide to the World's Leading Litigation and Product Liability Lawyers" in 2010–2012; named by The *National Law Journal* as one of the top 10 litigators in New York City in 1999; named to *The Lawdragon*'s "500 Leading Litigators in America 2006"; and was named to *The Lawdragon*'s "500 Leading Lawyers in America in 2010." He is a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation and the New York Bar Foundation. He received his J.D. from Georgetown University Law Center in 1968 where he served as editor of the *Georgetown Law Journal*, and his A.B. from Duke University in 1965. Mr. Coll contributes to several publications, including *Commercial Litigation in New York State Courts*; *Preparing and Trying the Civil Lawsuit*; and the first edition of *Products Liability in New York*.

ANTHONY D. CORNETTO, III, P.E.

Mr. Cornetto received his Bachelor of Science degree in Engineering Science and Mechanics, and his Master of Science degree in Engineering Science and Mechanics from Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University. He specializes in vehicle accident reconstruction, vehicle kinematics, and night visibility. He is also experienced in computer simulation and image analysis. He has expertise in 3-D solid modeling and is knowledgeable in 3-D animation. Surveying experience includes computer modeling of surface data. Mr. Cornetto's background is in solid mechanics, rigid body dynamics, fluid mechanics, and biomedical engineering. He is also experienced in the design of ophthalmic devices, including prototype testing and failure analysis. Mr. Cornetto is a member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), the Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE), the National Association of Professional Accident Reconstruction Specialists (NAPARS), and the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM International).

DOUGLAS W. DUNHAM, ESQ.

Douglas W. Dunham is Counsel in the Mass Tort and Insurance Litigation Group at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP. He graduated *magna cum laude* and Phi Beta Kappa from Harvard University in 1984 and received an A.B.-A.M. degree in History with Government. In 1987, he received a J.D. degree from Columbia University School of Law, where he was a research editor of the *Columbia Law Review*. Mr. Dunham concentrates in products liability and insurance litigation. He has successfully represented numerous product liability manufacturers and other corporate defendants in state and federal courts in New York and across the country at the trial and appellate level, including before the U.S. Supreme Court.

SUSAN T. DWYER, ESQ.

Susan T. Dwyer, a Partner in Herrick's Litigation Department and chair of the Product Liability Practice Group, has been on the forefront of handling national complex products cases for more than three decades. Ms. Dwyer litigates in state and federal courts across the United States and serves as national and regional counsel for *Fortune* 500 manufacturing companies in mass tort litigation and consumer class actions. She has indepth experience in post-recall litigation as one of Bridgestone Firestone's trial counsel in multi-district litigation involving the tires designed for the Ford Explorer, and defends companies in class actions and before state and federal agencies. In addition to her experience as a trial attorney,

she concentrates on conflict management outside the traditional litigation process to help clients control risks and costs through non-adversarial resolution of claims. Ms. Dwyer also oversees a large docket on behalf of manufacturers in the automotive, industrial machinery, chemical, pharmaceutical and paper industries and handles commercial matters including construction litigation, employment-related litigation and contract disputes. She has been a sustaining member of the Product Liability Advisory Council for 25 years and served as a member of PLAC's Executive Committee. She is a frequent author, lecturer and commentator in the product liability and toxic tort fields and co-authored the Tort Law Desk Reference (2000–2010), Business Torts (2006–2011), as well as Products Liability in New York. She was recognized by Chambers USA as one of America's Leading Lawyers for Business in Products Liability and Mass Torts for 2012 and has been identified as one of six lawyers qualified as a "Leading Individual" with a particular emphasis on automobile matters. In 2011, Corporate INTL Magazine named her "Nationwide Automobile Liability Attorney of the Year," and she was recognized as a Preeminent AV-rated attorney under the *Martindale-Hubbell Peer Review Ratings*TM.

JENNIFER FUERCH, ESQ.

Jennifer Fuerch is an Associate in the litigation group at DLA Piper. She practices primarily in the areas of mass torts and product liability, with a focus on pharmaceuticals. Her experience includes case development and motion practice in both federal and New York State courts, including summary judgment and motions to exclude expert witness opinions. She also has experience working with expert witnesses, preparing for *Daubert* hearings and trials, and preparing and deposing fact witnesses in pharmaceutical product liability litigation.

ANTHONY H. GAIR, ESQ.

Anthony Gair is a Partner in the New York City firm of Gair, Gair, Conason, Steigman, Mackauf, Bloom & Rubinowitz, where he concentrates in the areas of negligence, malpractice, products liability, construction accidents and trial and appellate practice. A *cum laude* graduate of Thomas M. Cooley Law School in 1980, Mr. Gair earned his LL.M. from New York University School of Law. He is a member of the American, New York State, Bronx County and Westchester County Bar Associations; the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, having served on it Products Liability Committee; the New York County Lawyers Association; the New York State Trial Lawyers Association; and the American Association for Justice. Mr. Gair has lectured on and published numerous

articles on personal injury, construction accidents and medical malpractice. He is a former consulting editor for *Medical Malpractice Law and Strategy* published by Law Journal Newsletters (a division of American Lawyer Media), and is a member of Scribes, the American Society of Writers on Legal Subjects.

WILLIAM G. GANDY, ESQ.

William G. Gandy is a Partner at Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP, who practices nationally in the areas of product liability, toxic tort, and mass tort litigation. He is a 1975 graduate of the Syracuse University College of Law. Previously, Mr. Gandy was a special agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation in Washington, D.C., and an assistant district attorney in the Monroe County District Attorney's office in Rochester, New York. Mr. Gandy is a member of the New York State Bar Association and the Defense Research Institute. He has also been a speaker on numerous occasions at local and state bar association seminars.

GERALD M. GOLDHABER, PH.D.

Dr. Gerald M. Goldhaber, the president of Goldhaber Research Associates, LLC, is a nationally recognized expert in the fields of political polling and warning label research. His clients include Fortune 500 companies, as well as educational and governmental organizations. He has conducted hundreds of surveys, including political polls for candidates running for U.S. Congress, Senate and President. Dr. Goldhaber also served as a consultant to President Reagan's Private Sector Survey for Cost Control. Dr. Goldhaber served as the Chair of the Department of Communication, State University of New York at Buffalo, between 1979 and 1988, and served as the Director of the Graduate Program until 2004. He has written and edited 10 books and is a frequent international lecturer on communication. He writes numerous articles on a variety of issues for publication in journals and newspapers, and has served as a political analyst for radio and television shows. He has been selected as a member of Who's Who in America and Who's Who in the World. His research and interviews have appeared in many of the top newspapers and TV shows including CBS Morning News, Forbes, The Wall Street Journal, the Boston Globe, and the Buffalo News. Dr. Goldhaber is a member of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society, Marketing Research Association, Gotham City Networking, Inc., and the International Communication Association, for which he held the title of Vice-President.

JOHN C. HERBERT, ESQ.

John Herbert is a Partner in the firm of Harter, Secrest & Emery, where his practice centers on civil litigation, including personal injury, professional products liability, negligence, municipal liability, construction-related matters, insurance coverage, Federal Employers Liability Act, and professional malpractice and licensing. He received his J.D. from the State University of New York at Buffalo School of Law in 1978. Mr. Herbert is a member of the New York State Bar Association and its Business Law Section and Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section; the Monroe County Bar Association; Defense Trial Lawyers of Western New York; and the National Association of Railroad Trial Counsel.

MICHAEL HOENIG, ESQ.

Michael Hoenig is a member of Herzfeld & Rubin, P.C., in New York City, specializing in products liability, complex litigation and appeals. He received his J.D. degree from St. John's University School of Law, where he was Articles Editor of the St. John's Law Review and an editor of its Biannual Survey of New York Practice. He has served as national, regional and local defense counsel for a number of major foreign and domestic companies including all phases of products litigation; class actions; negotiations in complex and catastrophic injury cases; regulation matters; and preventive counseling. He is the author of a monthly "Products Liability" column in the New York Law Journal; "Gatekeeping: Reliability of Expert Testimony Under Daubert (and Frye)" in Preparing For and Trying the Civil Lawsuit (NYSBA 2004), law review articles and Products Liability: Substantive, Procedural and Policy Issues (1992). Mr. Hoenig has lectured at numerous legal education programs, including CLE programs for New York Judges on Judicial "Gatekeeping" of Scientific Evidence and Expert Testimony. He is a member of the Product Liability Advisory Council, Inc., and of its Case Selection Committee; a fellow of the Product Liability Advisory Council Foundation; a member of the American and New York State bar associations and the New York County Lawyers Association; and a member of the board of advisors for BNA's Product Safety & Liability Reporter.

SCOTT R. JENNETTE, ESQ.

Scott Jennette is a Partner in the firm of Ward Greenberg Heller & Reidy LLP in Rochester, New York. Mr. Jennette's defense litigation practice concentrates on the areas of complex products liability, hazardous substance litigation, catastrophic personal injury, and professional malpractice. He has served as national, regional and local counsel representing a number of mechanical and chemical product manufacturers and suppliers in personal injury and property damage (fire) matters, involving petroleum solvents, products containing hazardous substances, microelectronic chemical products, pharmaceuticals, coke ovens, industrial labeling machines, trailers, food and beverage packaging, pavement profilers, construction equipment, chemical cleaning equipment, lawnmowers, shredders, storage rack systems, school bus equipment, pneumatic saws, water pumps, vertical drilling tools, gas clothes dryers, consumer paints, printing and photographic development products, and consumer electronic products, among others. Mr. Jennette has worked with experts in a variety of technical fields, is experienced with issues that arise in the introduction of expert evidence, and has successfully excluded expert testimony under the Daubert and Frye doctrines.

CHRISTOPHER P. JOHNSON, ESQ.

Christopher Johnson is a member of Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP, where his practice focuses on complex civil litigation, including commercial, securities, products liability and Constitutional litigation, as well as alternative dispute resolution. He is both a trial and appellate lawyer, with extensive experience in all aspects of civil litigation, from pre-trial proceedings, evidentiary hearings, and trials through appeals and U.S. Supreme Court practice. Having successfully conducted one of the nation's first *Daubert* hearings (resulting in a seminal opinion), Mr. Johnson is also an expert in the application and admissibility of expert opinion evidence. Mr. Johnson received his undergraduate degree summa cum laude from Fordham University and his law degree from the University of Virginia School of Law. He is admitted to practice in New York State; the U.S. District Courts for the Southern, Eastern, and Northern Districts of New York, the Western District of Michigan, and the District of Colorado; the U.S. Court of International Trade; the U.S. Tax Court; the U.S. Courts of Appeal for the First, Second, Third, Sixth, Tenth and Federal Circuits; and the U.S. Supreme Court.

E. STEWART JONES, JR., ESQ.

E. Stewart Jones, Jr., is a Managing Partner of E. Stewart Jones, PLLC, a private, family-owned law firm representing the greater Capital Region since 1898. He graduated from Williams College in 1963 and Albany Law School in 1966, when he joined his family firm. Mr. Jones has earned a reputation as a tireless advocate for the rights of those who have been injured by others, as well as those who have been accused of significant crimes. He is the only New York State lawyer outside of New York City to be elected to the four most exclusive national organizations honoring outstanding trial lawyers: the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, the Inner Circle of Advocates, the International Society of Barristers, and the American College of Trial Lawyers. He is also a diplomate of the American Board of Trial Advocates and the American Board of Professional Liability Attorneys. Mr. Jones has authored numerous articles and chapters in a broad variety of trial advocacy books and has lectured extensively throughout New York State on all facets of personal injury lawsuits. He appeared in the first edition of *The Best Lawyers in America* in 1983, and has appeared in every edition since, now in five separate categories: personal injury litigation, white-collar criminal defense, non-white collar criminal defense, malpractice and DUI/DWI criminal defense. He is also a Fellow of the Litigation Counsel of America and holds the highest possible Martindale-Hubbell peer review rating, AV preeminent. In addition, he has earned numerous civic awards.

JULIE S. JORDAN, ESQ.

Julie S. Jordan is an Associate at Faraci Lange, LLP, in their Rochester and Buffalo, N.Y. locations. Ms. Jordan focuses her practice on litigation of complex personal injury matters, including medical malpractice, products liability and toxic exposure claims. She also has extensive experience in insurance coverage disputes and risk management matters. She graduated cum laude from Syracuse University College of Law, where she was an associate editor of the Syracuse University Journal of International Law and Commerce and the American Bar Association's journal, The Labor Lawyer. Ms. Jordan was also a member of the Moot Court Honor Society and Syracuse University's National Trial Team. Upon graduation, Ms. Jordan was inducted into the Order of Barristers and was recognized in Who's Who Among American Law Students. In 2010, Ms. Jordan was named in Rochester by the *Daily Record* as one of 10 Up and Coming Attorneys. Representative matters include B.T.N. v. Auburn Enlarged City School District in which Ms. Jordan successfully argued that differential diagnosis was sufficient to establish both general and specific causation in

personal injury cases involving mold exposure and exposure to damp indoor environments She is a member of the American Association for Justice, the New York State Academy of Trial Lawyers, the Monroe County and New York State Bar Associations, and the Greater Rochester Association for Women Attorneys. Ms. Jordan has served as a presenter on topics including medical malpractice and environmental law for the New York State Bar Association and the Women's Bar Association of the State of New York.

RHONDA KAY, ESQ.

Rhonda Kay is Partner with the law firm of Gair, Gair, Conason, Steigman, Mackauf, Bloom & Rubinowitz, where she concentrates on negligence, malpractice, products liability and trial and appellate practice matters. A 1990 graduate of St. John's University School of Law, Ms. Kay was formerly a court attorney for the Appellate Division, Second Department. She is a member of the New York State Bar Association, the New York County Trial Lawyers Association and the New York County Lawyers Association (former secretary of the Torts Division, Appellate Advocacy Committee). She has authored several CLE books and law journal articles, and lectured extensively on products liability issues. She has also served on the Board of Editors of *Warren's Negligence in the New York Courts* since 2005 and was a member of the *New York Law Journal*'s Smart Litigator Practice Q&A Board.

MATTHEW J. KELLY JR., ESQ.

Matthew J. Kelly Jr. is an Associate at Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis. His experience includes product liability, complex commercial litigation, construction and municipal liability. He has defended clients' interests throughout litigation, from the pre-suit and pleadings stage through discovery disputes and arguments before the federal courts and state trial and appellate courts. His experience includes the defense of product liability claims from products as diverse as multi-ton cranes and tractors to the smallest of electrical components and toys. He also works with clients on various disputes in the construction industry, including disputes between owners, general contractors, and subcontractors throughout the construction process and also works with clients on surety relationships and coverage as well as contract interpretation. He is a member of the American Bar Association and New York State Bar Association. Mr. Kelly graduated from Boston College in 2001 and from Brooklyn Law School, *cum laude*, in 2005. At Brooklyn he was a Moot

Court Honor Society Vice President and Trial Advocacy Division chair, and was appointed a member of the Order of Barristers.

AMANDA KURYLUK, ESQ.

Amanda Kuryluk graduated from Siena College in 2007 and received a dual degree in Political Science and Spanish. While at Siena she participated in Siena's Honors program, Model United Nations, and was a Summer Legal Fellow. She graduated from the Claude W. Pettit College of Law of Ohio Northern University in 2010, where she received her J.D. In law school, she was an Associate Editor of the *Ohio Northern Law Review Journal*, a member of Phi Alpha Delta, worked as a research assistant, and volunteered at Ohio Northern's Legal Clinic. Ms. Kuryluk is admitted to practice in New York and New Jersey. Upon graduation from law school, she joined the law firm of Thorn, Gershon, Tymann, and Bonanni, LLP, in Albany, New York, and focuses her practice on the areas of medical malpractice defense and products liability defense.

JOSEPH C. LAVALLEY, III, ESQ.

Joseph LaValley, formerly of Myers & Myers, was a law clerk at the Jones Firm in Troy, New York. He was editor-in-chief of the *Albany Law Review*, and authored "The Calculus of Dissent: A Study of the Appellate Division" and "Showdown Over Snake Mountain." He received his J.D. in 2002, and became an attorney at the Appellate Division, Third Department. Mr. LaValley is a former member of the New York State Bar Association's Environmental Law Section.

SALVATORE C. MALGUARNERA, Ph.D., P.E.

Dr. Malguarnera is a Technical Consultant with SEA, Ltd. in Columbus, Ohio. He holds a B.S. from West Point and a M.S. and Ph.D. in Mechanical Engineering from M.I.T. He is a licensed professional engineer in 29 states. For 25 years, Dr. Malguarnera has investigated and analyzed accidents involving machines, mechanical equipment and motor vehicles. He previously held positions in teaching, research and engineering at both the university and industry levels.

ERIN MEAD, ESQ.

Erin Mead is a 2000 graduate of the University at Albany. She graduated with honors and was a member of the Presidential Honor Society and the Golden Key Honor Society. Ms. Mead graduated cum laude in 2002 from Albany Law School, where she received her J.D. She was a Note and Comment Editor for the Albany Law Journal of Science and Technology (2001–2002) and was the recipient of the A. Lindsay & Olive B. O'Connor Foundation Scholarship (1999–2002). She is a member of Albany Law School's Justinian Society. Upon graduation from law school, Ms. Mead was an Appellate Court Attorney with the New York State Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department, where she conducted extensive research and analysis of factual and legal issues in the preparation of preliminary reports in cases to be argued or submitted to the court. Later, she became an Associate at Thorn Gershon Tymann and Bonanni, LLP, and currently concentrates her practice on civil litigation and appeals with a focus on products liability, personal injury and insurance defense. Ms. Mead is a member of the American Bar Association, New York State Bar Association, Albany County Bar Association and the Capital District Trial Lawyers Association. She also serves on the Committee on Character and Fitness for the Third Judicial District.

HARRY F. MOONEY, ESQ.

Harry Mooney is a Partner in the Buffalo law firm of Hurwitz & Fine, P.C., concentrating on the defense of products liability, professional liability and catastrophic injury claims. He received a B.A. from Canisius College, an M.A. from Seton Hall University and his J.D., *cum laude*, from the State University of New York at Buffalo School of Law. He is a member of the New York State Bar Association's Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section; the American Bar Association's Torts and Insurance Practice Section; the Defense Research Institute; the International Association of Defense Counsel; and the Professional Liability Underwriters Association. He is a past president of the Defense Trial Lawyers Association of Western New York.

CHARLES PLATTO, ESQ.

Charles Platto is the Principal of The Law Office of Charles Platto in New York and engages in domestic and international commercial and insurance arbitration and mediation and litigation consulting. He was previously head of the insurance practice group at Wiggin and Dana LLP, head of his own national insurance boutique firm based in Vermont and New Hampshire, and a litigation partner at Cahill Gordon & Reindel in New York. He is a vice-chair of the ABA TIPS Insurance Coverage Litigation Committee. He is Adjunct Professor of Insurance Law and Litigation at Fordham Law School, and previously held that position at NYU and Vermont Law Schools.

SARA B. ROITMAN, ESQ.

Sara B. Roitman is an Associate in the Mass Tort and Insurance Litigation Group at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP. Ms. Roitman received a B.A. degree in Anthropology from Hamilton College in 2003. In 2010, she graduated *cum laude* from Boston College Law School, where she was a note editor of the *Boston College Law Review*.

CARL J. SCHAERF, ESQ.

Carl Schaerf is from the law firm of Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP. Mr. Schaerf concentrates on product liability, antitrust, Constitutional law and commercial litigation. He serves as regional counsel for a major electrical manufacturer, and appears regularly in courts throughout the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions. He has extensive litigation experience at both the trial and appellate levels, including several prominent verdicts and published appellate decisions. He also has litigated numerous environmental disputes in state and federal court. Mr. Schaerf also consults and lectures nationally on ERISA and non-ERISA health, life, accident and disability matters. He is a 1991 graduate of Fordham Law School.

TONY R. SEARS, ESQ.

Tony Sears is a Partner in the firm of Ward Greenberg Heller & Reidy LLP in Rochester, New York, where his practice includes products liability, toxic tort and personal injury litigation. Mr. Sears has defended product manufacturers against claims involving a variety of products including joint replacements and orthopedic devices, other surgical implants, and over-the-counter products such as contact lens solution. He has defended clients in lawsuits alleging injuries arising from exposure to a variety of substances including silica, asbestos, and various chemical constituents, such as PCBs and sodium dichromate. He also has defended gas and electric utilities against claims involving serious personal injuries and property damage arising from explosions, fires, and other accidents. Mr. Sears graduated, *cum laude*, from Cornell Law School, where he served as a Note Editor for the *Cornell Law Review*. Mr. Sears is a contributing editor of *Product Liability Desk Reference*, *A Fifty-State Compendium* (Aspen).

WILLIAM P. SMITH, ESQ.

William P. Smith, Jr., concentrates his practice on the areas of civil litigation, personal injury, business litigation, labor, personal injury, business litigation, labor and employment, insurance and construction law. He has substantial experience litigating in both state and federal courts, as well as before a wide variety of boards and arbitrators. Mr. Smith's practice is widely varied with the common thread being a focus on litigated matters, including complex matters such as products liability, trademark infringement and toxic torts. Mr. Smith received his J.D. degree from Cornell Law School and his B.A. degree, *magna cum laude*, Phi Beta Kappa, from the State University of New York at Buffalo. He is a member of the Monroe County and New York State Bar Associations.

WILLIAM I. SUSSMAN, ESQ.

William Sussman is a Partner in the firm of Ropes & Gray in New York, where is he co-chair of the Pro Bono Committee. He received his undergraduate degree from Union College and his law degree from Harvard Law School, where he was an editor of the *Harvard Law Review*. Mr. Sussman's practice has been concentrated in commercial litigation, with an emphasis on products liability defense and regulatory issues, and he is active in pro bono representations. He is a member of the American Bar Association and its sections on litigation, antitrust and torts and insurance practice.

JONATHAN S. TAM, ESQ.

Jonathan S. Tam is an Associate at the firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP in New York City. He graduated with distinction from the University of California at Berkeley, where he received degrees in both Philosophy and Legal Studies. In 2009, he graduated from Duke University School of Law, where he was an editor of *Law and Contemporary Problems*. Mr. Tam now focuses his practice on the areas of products liability and mass torts litigation.

JASON R. WATERS, ESQ.

Jason R. Waters is a Partner at Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP, who practices nationally in the areas of product liability, toxic tort, and mass tort litigation. He is a 1999 graduate of the Syracuse University College of Law and the 2004 recipient of the Sheldon Hurwitz Young Lawyer Award from the New York State Bar Association's Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section. Mr. Waters has been a speaker

on civil litigation and rule of law topics in seminars for foreign judges and lawyers, and he has authored and contributed to several publications on product liability law. Mr. Waters is a member of the New York State Bar Association and the Defense Research Institute.

AVIVA WEIN, ESQ.

Aviva Wein is an Associate in Herrick's Litigation Department. She concentrates her practice on product liability and general commercial litigation. Prior to joining Herrick, she clerked for the Honorable K. Michael Moore in the Southern District of Florida and was an Associate with the New York office of Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle, LLP.

SAUL WILENSKY, ESQ.

Saul Wilensky is a Partner at Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis, LLP, and practices in the areas of environmental law, product liability, and litigation services. He focuses his practice on the defense of product liability lawsuits relating to design, manufacture and repair of products and services. Mr. Wilensky regularly tries product liability and other complex matters throughout New York state and federal courts. He has been chair, vice chair and secretary of the New York State Bar Association's Torts, Insurance and Compensation Law Section, is a member of the American Bar Association, and the co-chair for downstate New York Membership for the International Association of Defense Counsel. He is noted for decisions in Robinson v. Reed Prentice; Godoy v. Abamaster; and Liriano v. Hobart. He has been listed in The Best Lawyers in America since 2007, is noted as a New York "Super Lawyer," and is listed in Legal-International Who's Who of Business Lawyers. Mr. Wilensky has also served as a faculty member on the IADC Trial Academy-2012. He received his B.A. degree from Hunter College, LL.B. degree from St. John's University School of Law, and LL.M. from New York University.